Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,738	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	<u>owance</u>
(1) <u>DANIEL G. MARIAM</u> .	(3)	
(2) TIMOTHY R. DeWitt.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 October 2006	Time: <u>11:00AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 3-6, 13, 15, and 20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	•	
1 1 ,		
DANIEL MIRIAM PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) . (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		